The PISA Results and the Education System in Korea

Mee-Kyeong Lee Research Fellow Korea Institute of Curriculum & Evaluation (KICE)

KICE Korea Institute of Curriculum & Evaluation

Outline

Introduction to Korea
 The PISA results for Korea
 The CBAS results for Korea
 The Korean education system
 Current reform initiatives



Introduction to Korea



Total land area: 222,154 square kilometers
 Divided by a 241 kilometers demilitarized zone
 South Korea: 99,313 square kilometers
 Population: 49 million people (2008)



Economic Development

GDP (Unit: US\$ billion)





GNI per Capita (Unit: US\$)

ΚΙCΕ

Source: The bank of Korea

The PISA Results for Korea

KICE Korea Institute of Curriculum & Evaluation

Trends in Student Achievement in Korea





Trends in Reading

PISA 2000		PISA 2003		PISA 2006		
Country	Means	Country	Means	Country	Means	
Finland	546	Finland	543	Korea	556	
Canada	534	Korea	534	Finland	547	
New	529	Canada	528	Hong Kong-China	536	
Zealand	528			5 5		
Australia	527			•	•	
Ireland	525		•	•	•	
Korea		•	•	•	•	
	_	•	-			
	-	•	-			
	•					
•						

Trends in Reading

Reading score in PISA 2006 Reading score in PISA 2000

Score

KICE



Source: OECD(2006) PISA 2006 volume 1 Figure 6.21

Trends in Mathematics

PISA 2000		PISA 2003		PISA 2006		
Country	Means	Country Means		Country	Means	
Japan <mark>Korea</mark> New Zealand	557 547 537	Hong Kong-China Finland Korea	550 544 542	Chinese Taipei Finland Hong Kong-China Korea Netherlands	549 548 547 547 531	
	-		-	-	•	
-			-	-	•	



Trends in Mathematics

Math scores in PISA 2006 Math scores in PISA 2003



KICE

Source: OECD(2006) PISA 2006 volume 1 Figure 6.21

Trends in Science

PISA 2000		PISA 2003		PISA 2006		
Country	Means	Country	Means	Country	Means	
Korea	552	Finland	548	Finland	563	
Japan	550	Japan	548	Hon Kong-China	542	
		Hong Kong-China	539	Canada	534	
		Korea	538	Chinese Taipei	532	
•	•			Estonia	531	
•	•			Japan	531	
	•	•	•	New Zealand	530	
		•	•	Australia	527	
		•	•	Netherlands	525	
				Liechtenstein	522	
				Korea	522	

Difference between PISA 2006 and PISA 2003 science scores based on link items :

-10.4 (not statistically significant)

ICE

Percentages of Students at the Top Proficiency Level





% of Students at Each Proficiency Level on the Reading Scale (PISA 2006)



KICE

Source: OECD(2006) PISA 2006 volume 1 Figure 6.1

13

% of Students at Each Proficiency Level on the Mathematics Scale (PISA 2006)



Source: OECD(2006) PISA 2006 volume 1 Figure 6.19

KICE

% of Students at Each Proficiency Level on the Science Scale (PISA 2006)



KICE

Source: OECD(2006) PISA 2006 volume 1 Figure 2.11a

The Reasons Behind Different Achievement Levels Across the Three Domains



Why is Achievement in Science Declining?

- The instructional time in science was reduced an average of 45 minutes a week for grade 4, 5, 6, 7, 10.
- Science subjects became optional, not core for grade 11 and 12 students.
- Science and Technology professions have become less attractive to Korean students.
- The university entrance system changed. Students don't need to take exams on science although they will continue their studies in areas related to science in university.



Students' Perceptions of the Importance of Doing Well in Science, Reading and Mathematics



GKICE

Source: OECD(2006) PISA 2006 volume 1 Figure 3.11

Why is Achievement in Reading Improving?

- The new national curriculum put more emphasis on critical and creative thinking skills through reading and writing.
- Reading assessment more focused on thinking ability.
- The university entrance system changed. Essay test that assesses both writing skills and logical thinking abilities introduced.



Attitudes Toward Each Domain

Attitudes toward each domain are relatively low.



General Interest in Science



ICE

Source: OECD(2006) PISA 2006 volume 1 Figure 3.8

Enjoyment of Science

I enjoy acquiring new knowledge in science.

I generally have fun when I am learning science topics.

I am interested in learning about science.

I like reading about science.

I am happy doing science problems.

ICE





Source: OECD(2006) PISA 2006 volume 1 Figure 3.10

40 50 60

80

70

20 30

10

()

Self-concept in Science

I can usually give good answers to test questions on school science topics.

When I am being taught school science, I can understand the concepts very well.

I learn school science topics quickly.

I can easily understand new ideas in school science.

Learning advanced school science topics would be easy for me.

School science topics are easy for me.



Source: OECD(2006) PISA 2006 volume 1 Figure 3.7

Equity in Reading Literacy (PISA 2000)



ΚΙCΕ

Source: OECD(2001) Knowledge and skills for life, Table 2.3a

Equity in Mathematics Literacy (PISA 2003)





Source: OECD(2004) Learning for tomorrow's world, Table 4.3a

Equity in Science Literacy (PISA 2006)



26

The CBAS Results for Korea

KICE Korea Institute of Curriculum & Evaluation

Implementation of CBAS

Aims: to add value to science assessment and to implement computer-based assessment in an international setting.

- Participating countries: Korea, Denmark, Iceland
 When : June 2006
- The number of Korean schools participated: 79
- The number of Korean students participated: About 1500 (20 students per school)
- Testing Period: 1-hour



Characteristics of CBAS Items

Adding value to science assessment

- allowing assessment of aspects of science not available in paper and pencil test
- Providing real life contexts by using simulations and videos
- Production of items consistent with the conceptual framework for PISA 2006
- Reducing reading load in order to reduce influence of reading ability
- Minimising ICT skills requirement in CBAS



Gender Differences by Region

CBAS Means by Gender





Enjoyment of CBAS & P.P Test





What do the PISA Results Mean for the Korean Education?

The PISA results provided an opportunity:

- To restore public trust in public education
- to identify the strengths and weakness of the Korean educational system
- to re-confirm the necessity of efforts to maintain and develop students' high achievement during their university studies and into their adulthood



The Korean Education System

KICE Korea Institute of Curriculum & Evaluation

Population that Has Attained at Least Tertiary Education (2006)



1. Year of reference 2002; 2. Year of reference 2004



Source: OECD(2008) Education at a glance Chart A1.2

History of Education In Korea

4th C – 1910: Traditional Confucianism

1910 – 1945: Japanese Occupation

1945 - 1950s: Expansion of Democratic Education

- 1940s: Established a modern education system (single track system 6-3-3-4)
- **1950s: Introduced compulsory education (Elementary education)**
- 1960s 1970s Quantitative Expansion
 - 1968 : Abolition of Entrance Exam to Middle School
 - 1974: High School Equalization Policy
- 1980s: Qualitative Development

1980: July 30 Educational Reform

1990s – present: Human education in preparation for future society
 1995: Education Reform

2008: New challenges



Expenditure on Educational Institutions as a Percentage of GDP (2005)



Private expenditure on educational institutions



Structure of Educational Administration

Ministry of Education, Science & Technology (MEST)

Metropolitan & Provincial Offices (Metropolitan: 7, Provincial: 9)

Regional Offices (180)

Schools (More than 10,000 schools)



School Ladder System



Number of Schools by Type (2006)

Kindergarten	8,275
Primary School	5,647
Middle School	2,947
General High School	1, 382
Vocational High School	713
Special School	142
Junior College	161
University – undergraduate	224
Total	19,586



Average Class Size in Educational Institutions, by Level of Education (2006)



1. Public institutions only

Source: OECD(2008) Education at a glance Chart D2.2

National Curriculum

The national curriculum has been revised regularly in accordance with a five- to ten-year cycle.

The national curriculum sets strict regulations for the number of school days, the subjects to be taught for each school year, and the time allocation for each subject in each school year.

But there is some room for modification by local education authorities and schools.

The national curriculum provides criteria for the development of textbooks and general guidelines for teaching-learning activities and methods of assessment



Korean National Curriculum Revision

Revision		n	Proclamation Year			Features		
1 st National Curriculum			1955			Curriculum centered around school education		
2 nd National Curriculum			1963		Experiential curriculum			
3 rd N Change of Curricular Choice								
5 th ℕ		National	Local	Scho	ool	Student		
6 th N	1998	42%	52%	6%	6	0%		
7 th N	2002	26%	20%	20	%	20~50%		
2007 N.								

Teacher Education Programs



Professional Development Programs

Туре	Purpose	Organizer	Period
Certificate training programs	To promote (Grade II teachers → Grade 1 teachers)	Metropolitan/ Provincial Office of Education	180 hours
Professional job training	To improve teachers' effectiveness and their ability to teach subjects	District office of education, science institutions, science center, academic society	15, 30, 60 Hours
Overseas in- service training	To improve international understanding and professionalism	Metropolitan/ Provincial Office of Education	2 – 4 weeks
Special training	To improve teacher professionalism	Domestic or foreign training centers designated by the Ministry of Education	Up to 2 years

Number of Teaching Hours per Year, by Level of Education (2006)



Teachers' Salaries in Lower Secondary Education (2006)

□ Salary after 15 years of experience/ minimum training



Equivalent USD converted using PPPs

ΚΙΟΕ

Source: OECD(2008) Education at a glance Chart D3.1

National Assessment of Educational Achievement

Purpose:

- To diagnose the educational achievements and the trends of the achievements
- To provide basic information to improve the curriculum and to check the problems of the curriculum implementation

Yearly survey

- Subjects: Korean, Social Studies, Science, Mathematics, English
- Grade: 6th, 9th, 10th
- Sampling: 3-5% students
- Test results:
 - Provides students with their scores and achievement levels
 - Publish reports at the national level

Characteristics of Korean Education

Rapid Expansion in all Levels of Schooling
 Efficiency in policy implementation
 High Equity in education
 Zeal for education



Problems & Issues of Korean Education

Extreme Competition for College Entrance
 Low confidence in school education
 High private expenditure for tutoring

Over-centralized educational administration Lack of diversity

Debate between Excellence and Equity



Current Reform Initiatives

KICE Korea Institute of Curriculum & Evaluation

Current Reform Initiatives (I)

1. Autonomy & Accountability
Autonomy of Local Education Offices and Schools
Central Government plays a minimum role in establishing standard for the education system and narrowing educational gap
Provide national education policy through consultations with local education offices

Transparent Education Administration
 Disclose School Information : School administration system and policy, budgeting and planning process

Current Reform Initiatives (II)

2. High School Education Reform – 300 Project
150 Public Boarding Schools
Select schools in rural areas to become public boarding schools: 88 in 2008 -> 150 in 2011
50 Professional "Meister" Schools
Designate 50 specialized vocational schools to meet the needs of industry: 20 in 2008 -> 50 in 2011

100 Autonomous Private Schools Designate 100 private schools by 2011 with autonomy in school administration

Start with schools in rural areas and small towns in 2008



Current Reform Initiatives (III)

- 3. Primary and Secondary Education
- Support and Stimulate Low-Performance Students
 - Analyze cause of low-performance and strengthen support to narrow the educational gap
 - Identify best practices of guiding low-performance student and provide incentives to best teachers
 - Provide tutoring and counseling to low-performance students



Thank you

KICE Korea Institute of Curriculum & Evaluation